

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ARLA BREE SMITH,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

CATERPILLAR, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:20-cv-01977-JCM-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 22]

On March 12, 2021, the court struck a notice of subpoena filed by Defendant. *See* Docket No. 17. The Court explained that Discovery-related documents must be served on the affected party, not filed on the docket unless ordered by the Court. *Id.* (citing Local Rule 26-8; Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d)(1)). The Court also cautioned the parties that they must “refrain from filing discovery documents on the docket in the future absent a Court order that they do so.” *Id.*

On July 9, 2021, Defendant filed another notice of subpoena. Docket No. 22. For the reasons previously articulated, this notice of subpoena is **STRICKEN**. The Court again instructs the parties that they must refrain from filing discovery documents on the docket. **Any further violations may result in the imposition of sanctions.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 9, 2021



Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge